Implications of the Regulatory Treatment of Sovereign Exposures for Bank Behavior

Oliver Beckmann¹

¹University of Hagen, Germany

5th to 6th October, 2023



Literature 0000 Hypotheses and Methodology

Empirical Findings

Conclusion

Motivation and Puzzle

Sovereign Bonds

Pivotal role in financial markets:

- Fiscal policy of sovereigns;
- monetary policy of central banks;
- risk-free benchmark.

Purposes for banks:

- investment opportunity;
- liquidity management;
- regulatory compliance.

Banking Regulation Incentivizes banks to hold exposures to EU sovereigns. Regardless of actual riskiness:

- No minimum capital requirements for credit risk;
- highest liquidity status for liquidity risk;
- no limit to large exposures for risk concentrations.

Implications for Bank Behavior

How are banks' sovereign exposures composed? Does the regulatory treatment of sovereign exposures affect bank behavior?

Hypotheses and Methodology

Empirical Findings

Regulatory Treatment of Sovereign Exposures

Table 1. Regulatory requirements for sovereign exposures. Legal basis are European Parliament and Council (2013, 2019): European Commission (2015a.b).

	Credit Risk	Market Risk	Liquidi	ty Risk	Risk Concentration
			LCR	NSFR	
ECAI Rating	Risk Weight [%]	Specific Risk Charge [%]	Haircut [%]	RSF Factor [%]	LTLE
AAA–AA	0	0	0	0	No limit
А	20	0.25-1.6	15	15	25% · Capital
BBB	50	0.25-1.6	100	50-100	25% · Capital
BB-B	100	8	100	50-100	25% · Capital
CCC–D	150	12	100	50-100	25% · Capital
EU	0	0	0	0	No limit

Fundamental principle

Risk-based requirements.

EU sovereigns

No minimum requirements.

Hypotheses and Methodology

Empirical Findings

Conclusion

Strands of the Literature and Key Papers

Determinants of banks' sovereign exposures:

1 Altavilla, Pagano, and Simonelli (2017). Bank Exposures and Sovereign Stress Transmission. Review of Finance 21(6), 2103-2139.

Impact of monetary policy on sovereign exposures:

- Acharya and Steffen (2015). The "greatest" carry trade ever? Understanding eurozone bank risks. Journal of Financial Economics 115(2), 215-236.
- 3 Drechsler, Drechsel, Marques-Ibanez, and Schnabl (2016). Who Borrows from the Lender of Last Resort? The Journal of Finance 71(5), 1933-1974.

Impact of sovereign exposures on bank lending:

4 Acharya, Eisert, Eufinger, and Hirsch (2018). Real Effects of the Sovereign Debt Crisis in Europe: Evidence from Syndicated Loans. The Review of Financial Studies 31(8), 2855-2896.

Introduction 0

Literature 00●0 Hypotheses and Methodology 000

Empirical Findings

Acharya and Steffen (2015): "Greatest Carry Trade Ever"

Problem: Bank-level data of sovereign bond positions are unavailable \rightarrow Indirect analysis.

Finding: Bank stock price returns load

- positively on GIIPS sovereign bond price returns and
- negatively on German sovereign bond price returns.
- → Banks designed *carry trades* as investments in GIIPS sovereign bonds (*high economic risks and returns*) financed with short-term debt (*low economic costs*).

Carry trade channels:

- *Regulatory Capital Arbitrage*: Banks hold assets with the highest returns and lowest risk weights.
- Moral Suasion: A stressed sovereign puts pressure on domestic banks to buy its bonds.
- 8 Risk Shifting: Banks from stressed countries substitute safer foreign by riskier domestic sovereign bonds (risk of bank runs).

Hypotheses and Methodology

Empirical Findings

Altavilla et al. (2017): Sovereign Exposure Determinants

Problem: Indirect evidence of Acharya and Steffen (2015) only holds if factor loadings proxy for sovereign exposures \rightarrow Direct estimation of impact of sovereign stress on sovereign exposures.

Finding: In times of sovereign stress

- government-owned and bailed out banks as well as
- weakly capitalized banks

buy more domestic sovereign debt than other banks.

- Monetary policy interventions reinforce this behavior.
- \rightarrow Moral suasion and carry trades.

Research Gap

Data does not break non-domestic exposures down by sovereign issuer \rightarrow Expansion from domestic to foreign sovereigns, especially EU and third countries.

Hypotheses

Benchmark—Yield seeking:

BM Banks react to a rising sovereign bond yield by increasing their sovereign exposure.

Regulatory arbitrage:

- *H*_{1a} The reaction of banks to rising sovereign bond yields of member states of the EU is more pronounced compared to third countries.
- *H*_{1b} The reaction of banks with low capital ratios to rising sovereign bond yields is more pronounced compared to banks with higher capital ratios.
- *H*_{1c} The reaction of banks with low liquidity ratios to rising sovereign bond yields is more pronounced compared to banks with higher liquidity ratios.

Moral suasion:

H₂ Banks under high government influence increase their domestic sovereign exposures more compared to banks under low government influence.

Refinancing—Carry Trades:

 H_3 The reaction of banks to rising sovereign bond yields is more pronounced in times of cheaply available funding.



Figure 1. Interaction approach to estimate banks' reaction to sovereign bond yield changes. Figure adjusted from Jaccard and Turrisi (2003).

$$\begin{split} \Delta Exposure_{i,k,t}^{Sov} &= \beta_{1}^{BM} \cdot \Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} + \beta_{1}^{C} \cdot \Delta FXRate_{k \neq j,t}^{Exposure} \qquad |BM(+) \quad (1) \\ &+ \beta_{2}^{C} \cdot In(Tota|Assets_{i,t}^{Bank}) + \beta_{3}^{C} \cdot LoansAssets_{i,t}^{Bank} \\ &+ \beta_{4}^{C} \cdot DepLiab_{i,t}^{Bank} + \beta_{5}^{C} \cdot \Delta EqIndex_{k = j,t}^{Domestic} \\ &+ \beta_{i}^{B} \cdot Bank_{i} + \beta_{t}^{T} \cdot Date_{t} + \alpha + \epsilon_{i,k,t}. \end{split}$$

$$\Delta Exposure_{i,k,t}^{Sov} = \left(\frac{Exposure_{i,k,t}^{Sov} - Exposure_{i,k,t-1}^{Sov}}{\overline{Exposure_{i,k}^{Sov}}}\right) \cdot 100.$$
(2)

$$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} = Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} - Yield_{k,t-1}^{Sov}.$$
(3)
7/24

Introduction 0

Hypotheses and Methodology $\circ \circ \bullet$

Empirical Findings

Conclusion

Regression Specification: Full Model

$$\begin{split} \Delta Exposure_{i,k,t}^{Sov} &= \beta_{1}^{BM} \cdot \Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} + \beta_{2}^{A} \cdot Domestic_{i,k=j,t}^{Exposure} & |BM(+) \\ &+ \beta_{3}^{A} \cdot \Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot Domestic_{i,k=j,t}^{Exposure} + \beta_{4}^{A} \cdot ThCountry_{i,k\neq j,t}^{Exposure} \\ &+ \beta_{5}^{A} \cdot \Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot ThCountry_{i,k\neq j,t}^{Exposure} + \beta_{6}^{A} \cdot CapitalRatio_{i,t}^{Bank} & |H_{1a}(-) \\ &+ \beta_{7}^{A} \cdot \Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot CapitalRatio_{i,t}^{Bank} + \beta_{6}^{A} \cdot CashRatio_{i,t}^{Bank} & |H_{1b}(-) \\ &+ \beta_{9}^{A} \cdot \Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot CashRatio_{i,t}^{Bank} & |H_{1c}(-) \\ &+ \beta_{9}^{A} \cdot \Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot CashRatio_{i,t}^{Exposure} & |H_{2}(+) \\ &+ \beta_{1}^{S} \cdot StateAid_{i,j=k,t}^{Bank} \cdot Domestic_{i,k=j,t}^{Exposure} & |H_{2}(+) \\ &+ \beta_{2}^{S} \cdot \Delta Ownership_{i,j=k,t}^{Sov} \cdot Domestic_{i,k=j,t}^{Exposure} & |H_{2}(+) \\ &+ \beta_{1}^{R} \cdot \Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot Price_{t}^{Germany} & |H_{3}(-) \\ &+ \beta_{1}^{R} \cdot \Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot LTRO_{t} & |H_{3}(+) \\ &+ \beta_{1}^{C} \cdot \Delta FXRate_{k\neq j,t}^{Exposure} + \beta_{2}^{C} \cdot In(TotalAssets_{i,t}^{Bank}) & (4) \\ &+ \beta_{3}^{C} \cdot LoansAssets_{i,t}^{Bank} + \beta_{4}^{C} \cdot DepLiab_{i,t}^{Bank} \\ &+ \beta_{5}^{C} \cdot \Delta EqIndex_{k=j,t}^{Domestic} + \beta_{i}^{B} \cdot Bank_{i} + \beta_{t}^{T} \cdot Date_{t} + \alpha + \epsilon_{i,k,t}. \\ &= 8/24 \end{split}$$

Literature

Hypotheses and Methodology

Empirical Findings

Conclusion

Sample Distribution

Data Point	Reporting Date	Publication Date	EBA Investigation
1 2 and 3 4 and 5 6 7 and 8 9 and 10 11 and 12	2010-12-31 2011-12-31 and 2012-06-30 2012-12-31 and 2013-06-30 2013-12-31 2014-12-31 and 2015-06-30 2015-12-31 and 2017-06-30 2016-12-31 and 2017-06-30	2011-07-15 2012-10-03 2013-12-16 2014-10-26 2015-11-24 2016-12-02 2017-11-24	Stress Test 2011 Capital Exercise 2011 Transparency Exercise 2013 Stress Test 2014 Transparency Exercise 2016 Transparency Exercise 2016
13 and 14 15 and 16 17	2017-12-31 and 2018-06-30 2018-12-31 and 2019-06-30 2019-12-31	2018-12-14 2019-11-29 2020-06-08	Transparency Exercise 2018 Transparency Exercise 2019 Transparency Exercise 2020

Fable 2. EBA investigations	. The data is available at	European Banking	Authority (202	0).
------------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------	----------------	-----

Table 3. Sample distribution grouped by home countries and sovereign counterparties. Nordea Bank Abp is double counted due to re-location from Sweden to Finland in 2019.

	Banks			Sover	eign Count	erparties
Country	Count	Obs.	(%)	Count	Obs.	(%)
EU Core EU Periphery Third Countries	40 29 0	8,660 4,209 0	(67.29) (32.71) (0.00)	12 5 6	7,016 3,168 2,685	(54.52) (24.62) (20.86)
Full Sample	69	12,869	(100.00)	23	12,869	(100.00)

Literature 0000 Hypotheses and Methodology

Empirical Findings

Conclusion

Evolution of Banks' Sovereign Exposures





Literature 0000 Hypotheses and Methodology

Empirical Findings

Conclusion

Excess Exposure to Foreign Sovereigns



Figure 3. Excess sovereign exposures by foreign sovereign counterparty.

Literature 0000 Hypotheses and Methodology

Empirical Findings

Conclusion

Excess Exposure to Domestic Sovereigns



Figure 4. Excess sovereign exposures by domestic sovereign counterparty.

Literature 0000 Hypotheses and Methodology

Empirical Findings

Conclusion

Share of Sovereign Debt Held by Sample Banks



Figure 5. Share of sovereign debt held by sample banks.

Literature

Hypotheses and Methodology

Empirical Findings

Conclusion 00

Sovereign Ratings



Figure 6. Sovereign ratings by sovereign counterparty.

Introduction 0

Hypotheses and Methodology

Empirical Findings

Conclusion

Evolution of Sovereign Bond Yields



Figure 7. Evolution of sovereign bond yields.

Empirical Findings

Regression Results

Table 4. Regression results. Standard errors are clustered at the bank level. ***, **, and * indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively.

$\Delta Exposure_{i,k,t}^{Sov}$	(1) Benchmark	(2) Arbitrage	(3) Suasion	(4) Refinancing	(5) Combined
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov}$	12.7403***	41.3839***	12.8019***	84.2002***	77.9011***
Domestic Exposure		-0.0360			-0.5184
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot Domestic_{i,k=i,t}^{Exposure}$		-14.1978***			-13.0688***
ThCountry $i_{i,k\neq j,t}^{Exposure}$		3.8568**			3.9509**
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot ThCountry_{i,k\neq i,t}^{Exposure}$		3.0815			7.2758
CapitalRatio ^{Bank}		-1.4774**			-1.4565**
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot CapitalRatio_{i,t}^{Bank}$		-1.3818***			-1.0078**
CashRatio ^{Bank}		-0.1599			-0.1389
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov}$ · CashRatio_{i,t}^{Bank}		-1.4115***			-0.8947**
$StateAid_{i,j=k,t}^{Bank} \cdot Domestic_{i,k=i,t}^{Exposure}$			12.2550**		4.5687
$\Delta Ownership_{i,i=k,t}^{Sov} \cdot Domestic_{i,k=i,t}^{Exposure}$			0.3526		0.2895*
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot Price_t^{Germany}$				-0.8571***	-0.5652*
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot LTRO_t$				29.3511***	28.6052***
Control Variables	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Entity Fixed Effects	Bank level				
Constant	Half-yearly Yes	Hait-yeariy Yes	Hait-yearly Yes	Hait-yearly Ves	Hait-yeariy Yes
	165	163	165	165	165
Observations	10,541	10,541	10,541	10,541	10,541
Banks	68	68	68	68	68
Adjusted R ² [%]	1.2	1.5	1.2	1.8	1.9

Introduction O

Hypotheses and Methodology

Empirical Findings

Conclusion

Regulatory Arbitrage: Counterparty Location



Figure 8. Slope estimations for exposure characteristics.

Introduction 0

Hypotheses and Methodology 000 Empirical Findings

Conclusion

Regulatory Arbitrage: Bank Capitalization



Figure 9. Marginal effect of bank capitalization.

Literature 0000 Hypotheses and Methodology

Empirical Findings

Conclusion

Regulatory Arbitrage: Bank Liquidity



Figure 10. Marginal effect of bank liquidity.

Literature 0000 Hypotheses and Methodology

Empirical Findings

Conclusion

Moral Suasion: Government Influence



Figure 11. Slope estimations for government influence.

Introduction 0

Hypotheses and Methodology

Empirical Findings

Conclusion

Refinancing—Carry Trades: German Bond Price



Figure 12. Marginal effect of the German sovereign bond price.

Intr	od	uc	tic	on

Hypotheses and Methodology

Empirical Findings

Conclusion

Robustness

Robustness? The results are (partially) robust to...

- bank entry and exit \rightarrow constant sample;
- outliers \rightarrow no winsorization;
- precision \rightarrow clustering of standard errors at exposure, country and counterparty level;
- definition of dependent variable → relative exposure change, scaling by total sovereign exposure and scaling by total assets;
- different methodology \rightarrow sample split.

Research Contribution

What's new? This paper...

- contributes to the literature by taking a holistic view on banks' sovereign exposures with a focus on foreign counterparties;
- expands Altavilla et al. (2017) from domestic to foreign sovereigns with different regulatory requirements.

Benchmark—Yield seeking:

✓ BM: Banks buy sovereign bonds in reaction to rising yields.

Regulatory arbitrage:

- X H_{1a} : Bank behavior is similar towards EU and third countries.
- ✓ H_{1b} : Less capitalized banks are more yield seeking.
- ✓ H_{1c} : Less liquid banks are more yield seeking.

Moral suasion:

✓ H_2 : Government influence raises banks' domestic exposures.

Refinancing—Carry trades:

✓ H_3 : Periods of cheap funding reinforce yield seeking behavior.

Intr	od	uc	tic	on

Hypotheses and Methodology $_{\rm OOO}$

Empirical Findings

 $\underset{O \bullet}{\text{Conclusion}}$

Relevance

So what? Implications for banking regulation...

- *Relevance*: Sovereign exposures build a sizable and stable share of 11% of total assets or 53 billion Euro per bank.
- Home bias: 17 billion Euro stem from the domestic sovereign.
- *Risk concentrations*: Domestic sovereign exposures exceed large exposure limits by 13 billion Euro.
- Regulatory arbitrage:
 - Evidence in terms of bank capitalization.
 - Evidence in terms of bank liquidity.
 - No evidence in terms of favorable treatment of EU sovereigns.
 - $\rightarrow\,$ Regulatory requirements for exposures to highly rated third countries are comparable to EU member states.

Next steps? Do regulatory requirements favor sovereign bonds over other asset classes? Are regulatory requirements for sovereign exposures risk adequate?

References I

- Acharya, V. V., T. Eisert, C. Eufinger and C. Hirsch (2018). Real Effects of the Sovereign Debt Crisis in Europe: Evidence from Syndicated Loans. The Review of Financial Studies 31(8), 2855–2896.
- Acharya, V. V. and S. Steffen (2015). The "greatest" carry trade ever? Understanding eurozone bank risks. Journal of Financial Economics 115(2), 215–236.
- Altavilla, C., M. Pagano and S. Simonelli (2017). Bank Exposures and Sovereign Stress Transmission. Review of Finance 21(6), 2103–2139.
- Drechsler, I., T. Drechsel, D. Marques-Ibanez and P. Schnabl (2016). Who Borrows from the Lender of Last Resort? The Journal of Finance 71(5), 1933–1974.
- European Banking Authority (2020). Risk analysis and data. Available at www.eba.europa.eu/risk-analysis-and-data, access on 2021-11-26.
- European Commission (2015a). COMMISSION DELEGATED REGULATION (EU) 2015/61 of 10 October 2014 to supplement Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and the Council with regard to liquidity coverage requirement for Credit Institutions. Official Journal of the European Union 58(L 11), 1–36.
- European Commission (2015b). COMMISSION DELEGATED REGULATION (EU) 2015/62 of 10 October 2014 amending Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to the leverage ratio (Text with EEA relevance). <u>Official Journal of the European Union</u> 58(L 11), 37–43.

References II

European Parliament and Council (2013). REGULATION (EU) No 575/2013 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 26 June 2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms and amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 (Text with EEA relevance). Official Journal of the European Union 56(L 176), 1–337.

European Parliament and Council (2019). REGULATION (EU) 2019/876 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 20 May 2019 amending Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 as regards the leverage ratio, the net stable funding ratio, requirements for own funds and eligible liabilities, counterparty credit risk, market risk, exposures to central counterparties, exposures to collective investment undertakings, large exposures, reporting and disclosure requirements, and Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 (Text with EEA relevance). Official Journal of the European Union 62(L 150), 1–225.

Governing Council of the European Central Bank (2015). GUIDELINE (EU) 2015/510 OF THE EUROPEAN CENTRAL BANK of 19 December 2014 on the implementation of the Europystem monetary policy framework (ECB/2014/60) (recast). Official Journal of the European Union 58(L 91), 3–135.

Jaccard, J. and R. Turrisi (2003). Interaction Effects in Multiple Regression (2. Ed.). Iowa: Sage Publications.

ECB as Lender and Buyer of Last Resort



Figure 13. Open Market Operations of the ECB. Dotted lines depict liquidity provisioning for banks and dashed lines depict liquidity provisioning for sovereigns through the European Central Bank. Figure derived from Governing Council of the European Central Bank (2015).

Appendix 00000000

Descriptive Statistics

ble 5. Descriptive statistics of the d	ata sample.					
Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	P ²⁵	P ⁷⁵	Max.
Bank Characteristics						
TotalAssets ^{Bank} [billion Euro]	587,72	624,00	0,94	92,79	846,06	2.411,91
CapitalRatio ^{Bank} [%]	17,09	4,82	-6,1	15,00	19,68	31,76
CashRatio ^{Bańk} [%]	5,98	5,06	0,18	2,52	7,65	54,22
LoansAssets ^{Bank} [%]	58,17	14,48	22,63	49,83	68,27	100,00
DepLiab ^{Bank'} [%]	50,97	20,14	0,85	35,78	63,38	96,60
$StateAid_{i,i=k,t}^{Bank}$ [1 = yes]	0,0010	0,0323	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	1,0000
$\Delta Ownership_{i,j=k,t}^{Sov}$ [PP]	0,01	1,38	-28,78	0,00	0,00	93,55
Exposure Characteristics						
Exposure ^{Sov} [billion Euro]	2,47	7,87	0,00	0,00	1,07	110,01
$\Delta Exposure_{i,k,t}^{Sov}$ [% of average]	0,09	106,06	-535,57	-7,58	6,53	510,00
$E_{xposure_{i,k=i,t}}^{Domestic}$ [billion Euro]	19,04	19,46	0,00	4,76	26,73	85,79
Exposure $t_{i,k\neq i,t}^{ThCountries}$ [billion Euro]	2,67	9,07	0,00	0,00	0,88	110,01
Exposure $i_{i,k\neq i,t}^{EU}$ [billion Euro]	1,17	3,22	0,00	0,00	0,68	51,06
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov}$ [PP]	-0,16	0,66	-3,36	-0,45	0,18	2,39
$\Delta FXRate_{k\neq j,t}^{Counterparty}$	0,03	2,43	-29,01	0,00	0,00	18,92
Macroeconomic Characteristics						
Price ^{Germany} [%]	94,69	6,32	82,52	92,62	97,92	103,26
$LTRO_t \ [1 = 2011H2 \text{ or } 2012H1]$	0,06	0,23	0,00	0,00	0,00	1,00
$\Delta EqIndex_{k=i,t}^{Domestic}$ [%]	4,28	10,78	-25,25	-3,84	11,62	27,98

Appendix 00000000

Robustness Tests: Constant Sample and no Winsorization

 Table 6. Robustness tests: Constant sample and winsorization. Standard errors are clustered at the bank level.

 ***, **, and * indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively.

$\Delta Exposure_{i,k,t}^{Sov}$	(1) Constant Sample	(2) No Winsorization
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov}$	97.1421***	43.0171
Domestic _{i,k=i,t}	0.8258	-0.2546
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot Domestic_{i,k=i,t}^{Exposure}$	-17.7270***	-5.2476
ThCountry $i, k \neq j, t$	5.8489***	6.1230**
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot ThCountry_{i,k\neq i,t}^{Exposure}$	4.6097	27.4735
CapitalRatio ^{Bank}	-1.0734	-1.7655**
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot CapitalRatio_{i,t}^{Bank}$	-1.6306***	-0.5399*
CashRatio ^{Bank}	-0.2373	-0.2793
∆Yield ^{Sov} · CashRatio ^{Bank}	-0.9092	-0.5488*
$StateAid_{i,j=k,t}^{Bank} \cdot Domestic_{i,k=j,t}^{Exposure}$	-3.1118	3.7265
$\Delta Ownership_{i,j=k,t}^{Sov} \cdot Domestic_{i,k=i,t}^{Exposure}$	0.4191	0.1539
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot Price_t^{Germany}$	-0.6586**	-0.3272
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot LTRO_t$	31.4561***	28.4381***
Control Variables	Yes	Yes
Entity Fixed Effects	Bank level	Bank level
Time Fixed Effects	Half-yearly	Half-yearly
Constant	Yes	Yes
Observations	7,986	10,541
Banks	30	68
Adjusted R ² [%]	2.1	1.6

Robustness Test: Clustering of Standard Errors

 Table 7. Robustness test: Clustering of standard errors. Standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at different levels. ***, **, and * indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively.

	Cluster					
$\Delta Exposure_{i,k,t}^{Sov}$	(1) Expo	osure	(2) Country			
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov}$	77.9011**	(31.3982)	77.9011**	(34.0536)		
Domestic Exposure	-0.5184	(1.7779)	-0.5184	(1.8198)		
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot Domestic_{i,k=i,t}^{Exposure}$	-13.0688***	(4.6610)	-13.0688***	(3.5520)		
ThCountry $i, k \neq j, t$	3.9509**	(1.7421)	3.9509***	(1.2482)		
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot ThCountry_{i,k\neq i,t}^{Exposure}$	7.2758	(8.6484)	7.2758	(11.7085)		
CapitalRatio ^{Bank}	-1.4565^{***}	(0.4700)	-1.4565**	(0.6210)		
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot CapitalRatio_{i,t}^{Bank}$	-1.0078**	(0.3993)	-1.0078**	(0.3853)		
CashRatio ^{Bank}	-0.1389	(0.4491)	-0.1389	(0.6829)		
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot CashRatio_{i,t}^{Bank}$	-0.8947**	(0.4341)	-0.8947**	(0.3291)		
$StateAid_{i,j=k,t}^{Bank} \cdot Domestic_{i,k=j,t}^{Exposure}$	4.5687	(5.6819)	4.5687	(5.0707)		
$\Delta Ownership_{i,j=k,t}^{Sov} \cdot Domestic_{i,k=i,t}^{Exposure}$	0.2895	(0.1988)	0.2895*	(0.1515)		
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot Price_t^{Germany}$	-0.5652*	(0.3414)	-0.5652	(0.3505)		
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot LTRO_t$	28.6052***	(8.3235)	28.6052***	(7.4856)		
Control Variables	Yes		Yes			
Entity Fixed Effects	Bank le	evel	Bank le	evel		
Time Fixed Effects	Half-yearly		Half-ye	arly		
Constant	Yes		Yes			
Observations	10,54	1	10,54	1		
Number of Clusters	943		21			
Adjusted R ² [%]	1.9		1.9			

Robustness Test: Scaling of Exposure Change

 Table 8. Robustness test: Scaling of exposure change. Standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the bank level.
 ***, ***, and * indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively.

	Scaling of Exposure Change				
$\Delta Exposure_{i,k,t}^{Sov}$	(1) Relative	(2) Total Exposure	(3) Total Assets		
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov}$	45.0326	1.5511**	0.1260**		
Domestic Exposure	-13.2094***	-0.3592	-0.0672**		
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot Domestic_{i,k=i,t}^{Exposure}$	-2.2202	-1.8819***	-0.1764***		
ThCountry Exposure t, k≠i, t	5.3091	0.0659	0.0059		
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot ThCountry_{i,k\neq i,t}^{Exposure}$	16.5310	0.0381	0.0115		
CapitalRatio ^{Bank}	-2.2766**	-0.0102	-0.0011		
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot CapitalRatio_{i,t}^{Bank}$	-1.8575**	-0.0353***	-0.0030***		
CashRatio ^{Bank}	0.3270	0.0114	0.0004		
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot CashRatio_{i,t}^{Bank}$	-1.2939	-0.0080	-0.0013***		
$StateAid_{i,j=k,t}^{Bank} \cdot Domestic_{i,k=i,t}^{Exposure}$	10.4393	1.4625	0.2466		
$\Delta Ownership_{i,j=k,t}^{Sov} \cdot Domestic_{i,k=j,t}^{Exposure}$	0.3992	0.0051	-0.0002		
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot Price_t^{Germany}$	-0.1940	-0.0094	-0.0007		
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot LTRO_t$	18.5534**	0.0272	0.0039		
Entity Fixed Effects	Bank level	Bank level	Bank level		
Time Fixed Effects	Half-yearly	Half-yearly	Half-yearly		
Constant	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Observations	7,438	10,477	10,504		
Banks	67	67	68		
Adjusted R ² [%]	2.1	3.3	3.5		

Robustness Test: Sample Split Foreign Sovereigns

Table 9. Robustness test: Sample split foreign sovereign exposures. Standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the bank level. ***, **, and * indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively.

	Sample Split Foreign Sovereign Counterparties					
$\Delta E_{xposure_{i,k,t}^{Sov}}$	(1) Full Sample	(2) EU Countries	(3) Third Countries			
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov}$	82.6908***	41.4036	399.8310**			
CapitalRatio ^{Bank}	-1.5361**	-1.4844**	-1.8640			
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot CapitalRatio_{i,t}^{Bank}$	-0.9985*	-1.1850**	1.2082			
CashRatio ^{Bank}	-0.1430	-0.3457	0.7321			
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot CashRatio_{i,t}^{Bank}$	-0.8442**	-0.8174**	-1.7807			
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot Yield_t^{Germany}$	-0.6146**	-0.1798	-4.1230**			
$\Delta Yield_{k,t}^{Sov} \cdot LTRO_t$	30.0969***	37.6942***	-204.1837**			
$\Delta FXRate_{k\neq i,t}^{Sov}$	-1.3632***	-1.7793**	0.2121			
In(TotalAssets ^{Bank})	-9.7631	-12.1245	-0.6287			
LoansAssets	0.0248	0.0313	0.0328			
DepLiab ^{Bank}	0.0689	-0.0412	0.7094*			
$\Delta EqIndex_{k=i,t}^{Domestic}$	-0.0397	-0.0500	-0.0150			
Entity Fixed Effects	Bank level	Bank level	Bank level			
Time Fixed Effects	Half-yearly	Half-yearly	Half-yearly			
Constant	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Observations	9,964	7,746	2,218			
Banks	66	66	57			
Adjusted R ² [%]	2.1	2.7	0.6			